

## THE RED REPUBLIC<sup>1</sup>

"Just a few decades ago, Georgetown<sup>[2]</sup> was renowned as the home of leading Catholic intellectuals, including names like James Schall, a prominent Jesuit writer and thinker, and foreign policy lights such as Anthony Bouscaren, Lev Dobriansky, and Jeane Kirkpatrick. Now, however, rather than these great thought leaders who exposed Soviet totalitarianism and rallied people to the defense of freedom, Georgetown has courted all the sons and daughters of the radical leftism that is tearing the United States apart." Writes 'BC Brutus' April 4<sup>3</sup> – connected to a 2024 Georgetown Law Center related Transition Integrity Project aimed at keeping an elected U.S. President out of office.

"... the Jesuits, in the end of the day, will make common cause with Communism, and will use this new-sprung force to wreak their vengeance on those Governments which have lifted up the heel against their liege lord, and obstinately refuse to return to their obedience to a ruler who claims to be the moral and political sovereign of all Christendom, the king of all its kings." Rev. James Aitken Wylie wrote – in 1881.<sup>4</sup>

### Dag Jørgen Høgetveit; August 14, 2024

Call it Communism or Socialism, call it Fascism or the Corporative State, call it Romanism (not to forget another supremacy-claiming power showing up in the early 600s).  
It's Totalitarianism – versus Freedom.

A short history lesson – past, present & future:

Babel, during the time of King Nebuchadnezzar. "Thou, O king, sawest, and behold a great image... Thou sawest till that a stone was cut out without hands, which smote the image upon his feet *that were* of iron and clay, and brake them to pieces. Then was the iron, the clay, the brass, the silver, and the gold, broken to pieces together, and became like the chaff of the summer threshingfloors; and the wind carried them away, that no place was found for them: and the stone that smote the image became a great mountain, and filled the whole earth...

... after thee shall arise another kingdom inferior to thee, and another third kingdom of brass... And the fourth kingdom ... as iron... the kingdom shall be divided... [A parenthesis – with Winston Churchill:<sup>5</sup> "After the fall of Imperial Rome ... an international organisation which, standing erect in every country, was by far the most powerful, and indeed the only coherent surviving structure, and at the head of which the Bishop of Rome revived in a spiritual, or at least in an ecclesiastical form, the vanished authority of the Cæsars." – The Prophet again:] And in the days of these kings shall the God of heaven set up a kingdom, which shall never be destroyed: and the kingdom shall not be left to other people, *but* it shall break in pieces and consume all these kingdoms, and it shall stand for ever. Forasmuch as thou sawest that the stone was cut out of the mountain without hands, and that it brake in pieces the iron, the brass, the clay, the silver, and the gold; the great God hath made known to the

---

<sup>1</sup> The title taken from Rev. Alexander Hislop's *The Red Republic; or, Scarlet-Coloured Beast of the Apocalypse*; 1849 – we'll return to it.

<sup>2</sup> "Shaped by centuries of Jesuit education around the globe, our culture embraces the changing times while staying true to core values – engaging your intellect, helping you grow ethically and spiritually, exchanging ideas freely and building a more just world." (Georgetown University, Washington D.C.; Who we are – our Catholic Jesuit Heritage; <https://www.georgetown.edu/who-we-are/our-catholic-jesuit-heritage/>)

<sup>3</sup> <https://amac.us/newsline/society/hoyagate-will-georgetown-president-have-to-testify/>; "The links between the school and the individuals leading the "resistance" against Trump are numerous."

<sup>4</sup> Wylie, J.A.; *The Jesuits: Their Moral Maxims, and Plots Against Kings, Nations, And Churches*; <https://archive.org/details/jesuitstheirmor00wyligoog>; pp.102-3.

<sup>5</sup> Churchill, W.S.; *A History of the English-Speaking Peoples*; Vol.I; p.65.

king what shall come to pass hereafter: and the dream *is* certain, and the interpretation thereof sure." (Daniel 2, 31-45)

Avro Manhattan:<sup>6</sup> "... the Vatican will side with one or the other, or indeed with anybody else, as long as it can further its own influence.

The pattern has been one of historical consistency. Early in this century, for instance, it sided with the Empires of Monarchical Europe; after World War I, it sustained the Fascist Dictatorships; following World War II, it fanned the Cold War by supporting the U.S. against Soviet Russia; after the Vietnam War, it sided with Soviet Russia against the U.S. In the eighties it has struck another working partnership with the U.S."

Manhattan<sup>7</sup>: "... in 1867, at the insistence of the *New York Times*, a law was passed forbidding any government funding for a Vatican ambassador."

"U.S. politicians from the president downward have become increasingly sensitive to the power of the Catholic vote to tip the scales of their political fortunes. Hence their unashamed wooing of the Catholic Church."

"President Reagan ... was ... helped unexpectedly by certain leading Protestants. Late in 1983, certain ecumenical Protestants intimated to the Reagan administration that they would not oppose U.S. diplomatic recognition of the Vatican. In fact, they offered support in devising ways to minimize the opposition.

Groundwork was laid in utmost secrecy with President Reagan taking eager advice from prominent Catholic congressmen, CIA, and FBI officials who were in frequent contact with the U.S. hierarchy. In a master coup against Protestant America, the 1867 law was quietly revoked by the Congress in November, 1983. It was later admitted that it had been done in haste and without benefit of public hearings.

For a Congress which has been charged as a know-nothing in the field of both diplomacy and international commitments, this reestablishment of diplomatic relations with the Vatican was a masterpiece of constitutional deviousness if not collective dishonesty. Besides bypassing the scrutiny of public opinion and any potential denominational opposition, it stultified the basic spirit of the U.S. Constitution.

The Vatican had indeed taken another giant step in its relentless pursuit of its main goal: the conquest of America."

And so "... the U.S. is caught up in the global Catholic diplomatic network. The U.S. will have become the willing vassal of a conquering church at home and the mighty lay instrument of a religious political power abroad."

Andrew Breitbart:<sup>8</sup> "... I spent most of my life in a world where the Soviet Union had been destroyed. When the Berlin Wall fell in 1989, we felt that we had finally defeated global Marxism. Ronald Reagan and the United States had taken down the single largest repository of communism on the planet, and we'd done it without firing a direct shot. The whole world could see that communism didn't work – its failure was on display for the entire globe to look at and say, *So much for that*.

At least that was what we thought.

When you look at the history of the Soviet Union, what you see is the conversion of hundreds of millions to a corrupt and insidious worldview via the overpowering propaganda of communism. Yes, they used force. But they also used every means at their disposal to control the culture, the everyday lives, the very thoughts of their citizens.

When I was at Tulane, I saw the same cultural forces at work: the forces of the thought police, of the cultural fascisti. People in positions of power who decided what was okay to think and what to write,

---

<sup>6</sup> Manhattan, A.; *The Vatican Moscow Washington Alliance*; Preface.

<sup>7</sup> *Ib.* 2. Am. Ed., 1986, pp.350-2. Foreword.

<sup>8</sup> Breitbart, A.; *Righteous Indignation*; p.105.

what words meant and who was allowed to say them. Tribunals without oversight, kids thrown out of college for uttering the wrong sentiments. Looking back, I thank God every day that I partied to excess at Tulane, because it kept me from buying into that worldview, from learning that language."

Danish Søren Krarup – born 32 years before Breitbart – in the 2001-Afterword to his 1984-book *Det moderne sammenbrud* [The Modern Collapse] – 1789-1984:

"68 is certainly dead and gone, but the 68ers hold all the power and carefully shape the opinion in the 68-ideology's image and attitude. The wall fell, totalitarianism was totally exposed – but if we thought that this meant that the anti-totalitarianism or the freedom would spread towards East, we were disappointed, because the opposite happened: the unfreedom of the totalitarianism has spread over the West, and today a political correctness that bears in itself all the totalitarian features of Marxism and humanistic messianism is supreme in the Europe that has become the European Union."

"This democracy with "democratic values" is not the democracy that protects freedom by dividing power into an executive, judicial and legislative part, and it is thus not democracy at all, but an ideological instrument for the enforcement of the correct values. The king is dead, live the king! Totalitarianism died, totalitarianism lives in the West that claimed to be in opposition to communism. We live unchanged, oppressed and censored, in the line that emanates from the Enlightenment and 1789 and whose Danish stations I sought to depict in the book that was published in 1984. This means, of course, that the main opponent of totalitarianism, the Christian witness to truth, the radical rebellion against the contempt for humanity of the political correctness, has been silenced and repressed, and so it has been. Again it is Georg Brandes' distortion of Søren Kirkegaard and his authorship, which prevails in Denmark."

Back in 1944, former priest Leo H. Lehman wrote<sup>9</sup> that "What the Catholic Church is hoping and working for as a result of the present death struggle between the fascist and democratic blocs is the re-establishment in Europe of the "Real State," a rigid hierarchical system wherein inferiors are subject to superiors. In this system each individual, like a cell in a body, must humbly submit to his fate and occupy his "natural place" which is allotted to him from birth and have no desire to get away from it. This basis of social structure is not only anti-Jewish, but also anti-Protestant."

The Second Thirty Years' War<sup>10</sup> comes to an end, and "- ... at the end of the Second World War... in *Europe* Catholic and secular intellectuals team up to begin to critique and attack Protestant idea of a nation and nationalism; that the two World Wars happen because of German nationalism." (Vishal Mangalwadi; Why Christianity lost America.<sup>11</sup>)

Mangalwadi: "-... when Germany dismisses the Bible as myth; German higher criticism, German theology dismisses the Bible as myth, then the Bible has no controlling authority over its politicians and its leaders, so nationalism can mean whatever Hitler wants it to mean. The problem is *not* with nationalism... But these secular Catholics – first Catholic and then secular – intellectuals who teamed up with the Catholic attack on the Biblical idea of nation and nationalism, they began to dominate the press, and by that time the Christian mind, the anti-intellectualism in America, had already become so strong, and the seminary movement had already isolated theology from the rest of life in such a way that there *was* no Christian intellectual here in America who could stand up and defend biblical

---

<sup>9</sup> Lehman, L.H.; Behind the Dictators; <https://www.lutheranlibrary.org/pdf/651-lehmann-behind-the-dictators.pdf#pagemode=bookmarks>; pp.29-0.

<sup>10</sup> Cf. Charles de Gaulle and Winston S. Churchill; [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Second\\_Thirty\\_Years%27\\_War](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Second_Thirty_Years%27_War)

<sup>11</sup> Mangalwadi, V.; Why Christianity lost America – And How to Return America to Greatness.

Nailing my 9.5 Theses to the Doors of the American Church; [https://youtu.be/v\\_tFLv4Mnmw](https://youtu.be/v_tFLv4Mnmw); sound improves within 5 min. His theses begin at 03:15.

nationalism and say that what America is doing, *is* Biblical;<sup>[12]</sup> what Hitler has done is secularized corruption of Biblical nationalism."

"... Protestantism and Evangelicalism are two different entities. Though Evangelicals *like* to think of themselves as the theological heirs of Protestantism, but in *fact* - the problem in this regard, and many others that we will be considering, is simply this, that *Protestantism* built a great nation, Evangelicalism has destroyed that nation. That's my central thesis – very harsh statement, very shocking statement – but that's the statement I want Evangelical theologians to debate and discuss."

"... the Catholic attack on the Biblical idea of nation ...":

"... whereas the exertions of the U.S.A. and of the U.S.S.R., are followed with growing apprehension, those of the Vatican are seldom scrutinized. Yet not a single event of importance that has contributed to the present chaotic state of affairs has occurred without the Vatican taking an active part in it."

"The American citizen, perturbed by the past, bewildered by the present and made increasingly anxious about the future, would do well to ponder the exertions of the Vatican in contemporary American and world politics. His destiny as well as the destiny of the United States, and indeed of mankind, has been and will continue to be profoundly affected by the activities of an institution which, although a church, is nonetheless as mighty a political power as the mightiest nation on the planet.

Avro Manhattan  
London, 1949"<sup>13</sup>

J.A. Wylie wrote<sup>14</sup> – in 1881 – that "As regards the form into which they will suffer Europe finally to settle down, their choice lies betwixt two extremes. The one is Despotism, the other is Communism. The first would suit their purpose best, the old "Divine right," namely, its fountain being, of course, the chair of Peter. But if this cannot be, anarchy could also be made to fall in with their views. One thing they hate, abhor, and condemn, and will continue unchangeably to hate, abhor, and condemn, and that one thing is, the *via media* of constitutional government. Next to heresy, constitutional government is, in their eye, a supreme unmitigated abomination. They are, at this hour, bending all their efforts for the overthrow of constitutionalism in every country in which it exists."

"The Jesuits show their usual craft and sagacity in the plan they pursue. They have marked for destruction first our political liberties. It were madness to attempt meanwhile and at once the suppression of our Protestant faith. That attempt will come in its own order. First our nation must be split into factions, our Parliament must be disgraced, our Government must be made to rest on the army, or remain helpless, and when our political defences have been trodden into the dust, then will come the supreme battle for our religious liberties."

"There is another cloud hanging over us, and not *us* only, but over all Christendom. We refer to the steady growth of Communism, and other forms of political and social revolution in all the countries of Continental Europe. We had the fact publicly proclaimed to us as one of startling and ominous significance by an able and experienced diplomatist at the recent meeting of the Social Science Association in Edinburgh. "Communism," said that authority, "is the reason of the enormous armies maintained at this day by the Continental Governments," and we were warned, moreover, that in the presence of so formidable a foe, daily growing in numbers and strength, not one of these Governments dare reduce their overgrown armaments. If these Governments will return to their obedience to the See of Rome, the Jesuits will exert themselves to the utmost to extinguish Communism, and make all safe and stable around the thrones which it menaces with overthrow. But if these Governments shall maintain their present attitude to the Vatican, if they persist in declining the concordats and canon law of Rome, they will be left to reckon with the Communists as best they may. Help from the Papal See

---

<sup>12</sup> Cf. Acts 17,26-7; God "hath made of one blood all nations of men for to dwell on all the face of the earth, and hath determined the times before appointed, and the bounds of their habitation; that they should ..."

<sup>13</sup> The Vatican in World Politics; Preface to the American Edition.

<sup>14</sup> Wylie, J.A.; The Jesuits; pp.80-1.101-3.

they shall have none. Nay, the Jesuits, in the end of the day, will make common cause with Communism, and will use this new-sprung force to wreak their vengeance on those Governments which have lifted up the heel against their liege lord, and obstinately refuse to return to their obedience to a ruler who claims to be the moral and political sovereign of all Christendom, the king of all its kings."

"... the Catholic attack on the Biblical idea of nation"; the Catholic attack on the Biblical 'idea' in general – or attack on what Wylie calls the "Protestant faith" – In other words, "Yea, hath God said?":

Catholic Encyclopedia<sup>15</sup> – Protestantism: "However vague and indefinite the creed of individual Protestants may be, it always rests on a few standard rules, or principles, bearing on the Sources of faith, the means of justification, and the constitution of the Church. An acknowledged Protestant<sup>[16]</sup> authority, Philip Schaff ... sums up the principles of Protestantism in the following words:

"The Protestant goes directly to the Word of God for instruction, and to the throne of grace in his devotions; whilst the pious Roman Catholic consults the teaching of his church, and prefers to offer his prayers through the medium of the Virgin Mary and the saints.

From this general principle of Evangelical freedom, and direct individual relationship of the believer to Christ, proceed the three fundamental doctrines of Protestantism – the absolute supremacy of (1) the Word, and of (2) the grace of Christ, and (3) the general priesthood of believers. . . ."

"Sola Scriptura ("Bible Alone")

The belief in the Bible as the sole source of faith is unhistorical, illogical, fatal to the virtue of faith, and destructive of unity.

It is unhistorical. No one denies the fact that Christ and the Apostles founded the Church by preaching and exacting faith in their doctrines.... if in the time of the Apostles, faith consisted in submitting to authorized teaching, it does so now; for the essence of things never changes and the foundation of the Church and of our salvation is immovable.

Again, it is illogical to base faith upon the private interpretation of a book. For faith consists in submitting; private interpretation consists in judging. In faith by hearing, the last word rests with the teacher; in private judgment it rests with the reader, who submits the dead text of Scripture to a kind of post-mortem examination and delivers a verdict without appeal: he believes in himself rather than in any higher authority."

From the Catholic Encyclopedia and priest Joseph Wilhelm – forwards to the 1940s – to (former) priest James J. Murphy, Associate Editor of The Converted Catholic Magazine:<sup>17</sup>

"Many former priests are alive today, some of whom are ministers in Protestant churches. It is a conservative estimate that in the United States alone seventy-five priests leave the Catholic church each year. Most of them, however, find it necessary to keep their identity unknown, if they are to retain their jobs and escape economic persecution. For it is an undeniable fact that any former Catholic priest who makes known his conversion from the priesthood singles himself out for unfounded, intolerant attacks on his character and the motives of his conversion. It is my purpose this morning to sketch for you my own experiences in the Roman Catholic priesthood and the reasons why I left. it."

"Throughout the years of my training for the priesthood, as I got deeper into theology, doubts began to enter my mind as to the claims of the Roman Catholic church. The deeper I went into theology, the less foundation I found for these claims. The doubts increased in number and intensity during my

<sup>15</sup> <https://www.catholic.org/encyclopedia/view.php?id=9686>

<sup>16</sup> Philip Schaff; Swiss born with German theological education (Schaff who in Rome 1842 kissed the red slipper of Gregory XVI – see David S. Schaff, The Life of Philip Schaff. p.53), Schaff is a main figure behind the American (1901 ASV) edition of the Anglo-American 'revision' of the KJV.

<sup>17</sup> The Converted Catholic Magazine; The Road From Rome: My Reason For Leaving The Catholic Priesthood; <https://www.lutheranlibrary.org/657-lehmann-the-converted-catholic-magazine/>; pp.248-54.

studies after ordination. You may ask why I did not follow these doubts through at once until I found a solution. The answer is simple. Because as a good Roman Catholic I was forbidden under pain of grievous sin and eternal damnation to entertain these doubts in my mind. I was forced to take every possible measure to banish them. This struggle between my reason and my blind faith went on from year to year until the strength of my own conscience, enlightened by divine grace, convinced me that God could not possibly demand assent to such unreasonable beliefs, and that, unless I wished to live as a hypocrite, teaching what I no longer believed, I would have to resign from the priesthood and the Roman Catholic church."

"Parallel with my growing doubts, while I was inside the Roman Catholic church, was my increasing disillusionment with the aims and practices of the man-made organization of this church. Corruption in the Roman *Curia*, ambition and money-seeking within the ranks of the hierarchy, elastic moral principles that made the end justify the means (such as the papal blessing of Franco's rebellion and Mussolini's rape of Ethiopia), the fostering of ignorance and grossest superstition in countries like Italy, Spain and South America – these and a thousand other bitter realistic disillusionments proved a mockery of the Roman Catholic church, as it had appeared to me from the outside when I pictured it with the naiveté of idealistic youth. Worst delusion of all was the intellectual dishonesty of Catholic theologians and historians, especially of the Jesuits, who distorted facts and made up false proofs to defend their church and its man-made doctrines.

The strain of years of doubt and disillusion was intense and grueling. But my conversion to evangelical Christianity was as direct and unpretentious as the Gospel itself. It was simply that I found myself divested of all the fantastic, man-made beliefs that Rome had added during the centuries, found myself believing only in the pure, simple teachings given to us by our Lord Jesus Christ.

Such, in short, is the simple story of my conversion. But, you may inquire, just what were the doubts concerning Roman Catholic teaching that kept recurring and eventually turned into reasons for leaving the church."

"Well, these doubts were many, and I can only touch on them briefly. First of all, there were improved dogmas. If I had never studied positive theology and the history of dogma I would still believe in them. For as a Roman Catholic layman I would believe, as I did when I was a youth, that many of these dogmas could not be proved by Holy Scripture but could be proved by an oral tradition handed down within the church from the apostles to their successors and then from one bishop to another down to our times. This sounds fairly reasonable, at least to a Catholic – if he doesn't know church history."

"I would that I could make known to you the joy of possessing at long last the truth of God that makes us free – the joy of being free of the haunting fears that drive over-anxious Catholics to confession several times a day without giving them any consolation or assurance of salvation. The peace I have gained is "the peace that surpasseth all understanding" – the peace of conscience and the keeping of one's self-respect that come from witnessing to the truth in spite of the libel and abuse of those who hate religious freedom."

Avro Manhattan again<sup>18</sup> – 1982: "To meet the challenge of the forthcoming communist take-over of pre- and post-World War III, she has already formulated a long term strategy of her own: the creation of a peculiar new brand of Catholicised communism."

"The potential outbreak of World War III, far from hampering, would help to further its expansionistic dreams. Wars in our times have invariably begotten communism [with what follows for (real) Christianity]. World War I produced Communist Russia. World War II produced Communist China. Regional wars since then have produced communist regimes in Africa and in Asia. World War III will produce a communist world. When that happens the Catholic Church will have produced a communist

---

<sup>18</sup> Manhattan, A.; *The Vatican Moscow Washington Alliance*; Preface.

Christianity of her own. This she will use as the most suitable ideology with which to force herself, not only upon Catholic countries, but also upon those which are not.

What will be the role of the Catholic Church in a world where the hammer and the sickle has replaced the cross, where Lenin has supplanted Christ, where Bolshevism has taken the place of Christianity, and where God has been substituted by contemporary Man? The role she will play will be simplicity itself; the Leninisation of Christ, and the Catholicisation of Lenin."

Alexander Hislop – *The Red Republic* (1849) – penned:<sup>19</sup> "But perhaps some may be inclined to say: How shall the reckless and blasphemous atheism of the Red Republicans ever harmonize with the abject and drivelling superstition of Rome? Is it not much more likely that if ever they gain the power at which they aspire, they will immediately shew their hatred to the whore by stripping her naked, and making her desolate, and burning her with fire? If there were no other enemy to hate, no other adversary to oppose, this, in all likelihood, would be the case. When the witnesses shall at last be slain, when all testimony for Christ shall be suppressed, then shall the antipathy between atheism and superstition have scope to develop itself. But so long as infidelity and popery alike shall feel themselves confronted by a living Protestantism, so long as they shall find in Christ's witnesses a common and a formidable enemy, that will meet them at every turn, and allow them no peace in their ungodly career; an offensive and defensive union may easily be cemented between these two seemingly repulsive and antagonist powers. When Christ was to be crucified, Herod and Pilate, though at enmity before, found it no difficult matter to be reconciled. To those who look only on the surface of things, and who have seen the masses recently expelling the jesuits from one quarter of continental Europe after another; it might seem a thing incredible, that Rome should ever again acquire that ascendant over these same masses, as is represented by the vision where the harlot is seen riding on the scarlet-coloured beast. But those who have more deeply scrutinized the workings of the Roman Apostacy, will never venture to affirm beforehand what seemingly invincible obstacles the power of the Man of sin may not overcome. The mystery of iniquity, like the mystery of godliness, is unfathomable. "There is a power in Popery," says Adolphe Monod, "which God knows, and which the devil knows, but which I do not know." That power is felt at this hour in Great Britain, as a power which our most sharp-witted statesmen cannot cope with; and the queen of the nations, after three hundred years' defiance of Rome, is now preparing to give up the contest in despair, and meekly to bow down and lick the very dust of her feet. That power is making large and rapid strides in republican America, and bids fair, at no distant period, to have the liberties of that boasted land of freedom entirely in her keeping. Already has the American congress been compelled to do homage to her claims, by calling Dr Hughes, the Popish bishop of New York, to preach before the assembled senators in the capitol. That power is rising rapidly in infidel and republican France. It is but two years since the chambers decreed the expulsion of the jesuits. And yet, when Pius IX., avowedly under jesuit influence, comes into distress for the breach of his pledges to his own subjects, the French National Assembly resolves, by a majority of about six to one, to come to his aid. Let no one then say, that Rome cannot tame the blaspheming atheists of the Red Republic, and render them obedient to her will. She can pander to every passion. She can suit herself to every taste. She can lead democrats and aristocrats alike in triumph at her chariot wheels. The union therefore may be easily effected: and when it is, then shall the grand crisis come. Then shall persecution be waged in the name of Liberty and Equality: Then shall the woman calling herself the bride – the lamb's wife, be seen drunken, as she never yet has been, with "the blood of the saints and of the martyrs of Jesus.""

France 1849; "... here we find the socialists, after repudiating "a personal Deity," without which religion is an empty name, still determined to have something in the form of a religion.

---

<sup>19</sup> Hislop, A; *The Red Republic; or, Scarlet-Coloured Beast of the Apocalypse*; <https://archive.org/details/the-red-republic-or-scarlet-coloured-beast-of-the-apocalypse-alexander-hislop-william-white-co.-1849>; pp.136-8.143-4.

This new phase of socialism will not escape the piercing eye of Rome; and if she finds it necessary, or for her interest, to pay court to the men of the Red Republic, she will not hesitate for a moment what to do. Popery, in its first phase, was "baptised Paganism;" in its last, it seems destined to appear as "baptised atheism."

Kevin Roberts, President of The Heritage Foundation, July 2:<sup>20</sup> "-One of the main problems – in fact I would argue, *the* main problem – facing the United States, as it enters this really pivotal period, is that we have a decline in religiosity, and I tell, not just broad audiences, but even conservative audiences around the country, that if you want to save this country, there is going to have to be a third Great Awakening – we've had *two* in our country's history; and for people who don't like the sound of that, because they don't like organized religion: it's the only way we're goin' to be able to save this republic."

## AFTERWORD

"... lo, they have rejected the word of the LORD; and what wisdom *is* in them?" (Jeremiah 8,9)

"*Lastly*, Those are not at all to be tolerated who deny the Being of a God. Promises, Covenants, and Oaths, which are the Bonds of Humane Society, can have no hold upon an Atheist. The taking away of God, though but even in thought, dissolves all." Wrote John Locke<sup>21</sup> – and with Daniel Dennet<sup>22</sup> on Darwinism; it's an "universal acid; it eats through just about every traditional concept and leaves in its wake a revolutionized world-view." And – an ecclesiastical student who read Darwin and turned atheist – Joseph Stalin:<sup>23</sup> "Evolution prepares for revolution and creates the ground for it; revolution consummates the process of evolution and facilitates its further activity."

So what?

How do you get people to lose sight of the authority of God's word, the Bible; how do you (attempt to) tear down God's word?

Fake it & break it – disprove it.

Fake it? How?

See D.J.H.; 'The dead hand of Fenton John Anthony Hort lies heavy upon us' – Generational sin; [http://kommentar-avisa.no/Gjestekommentarer/Gjestekommentarer-2023/FJA\\_Hort's\\_døde\\_haand\\_NO&ENG\\_13PKT.pdf](http://kommentar-avisa.no/Gjestekommentarer/Gjestekommentarer-2023/FJA_Hort's_døde_haand_NO&ENG_13PKT.pdf); English original version follows from p. 17.

Next: Break it; disprove the Bible – preferably at page one.

How?

Biological evolution is not *the* basic topic – time is. Listen to Terry Mortenson on Millions of Years – The Idea's Unscientific Origin & Catastrophic Consequences; <https://youtu.be/WtV7DfRXucQ>.

And it all have large scale consequences; for the individual, for society.

"Darwin's book [On the Origin of Species – 1859] is very important and serves me as a basis in the natural sciences for the historical class struggle." (Karl Marx; 1861<sup>24</sup>)

<sup>20</sup> <https://warroom.org/bannons-warroom-show-clip-roundup-7-2-2024-am/>; 10:38.

<sup>21</sup> [http://files.libertyfund.org/files/2375/Locke\\_1560\\_EBk\\_v6.0.pdf](http://files.libertyfund.org/files/2375/Locke_1560_EBk_v6.0.pdf)

<sup>22</sup> <http://www.arn.org/docs/johnson/dennett.htm>

<sup>23</sup> Bergman, J.; The Darwin Effect – It's influence on Nazism, Eugenics, Racism, Communism, Capitalism & Sexism; p.291.

<sup>24</sup> Wurmbrand, R.; Marx & Satan; p.84.



Jerry Bergman:<sup>25</sup> "It is well documented that Darwinism inspired not only Hitler but also Stalin and Lenin. That evolution inspired both communists and arch-capitalists is not as surprising as it may first appear. Both openly opposed the core values of Christianity and were only on different sides of the so-called "class struggle" that was believed to be an inevitable part of history (Perloff 1999, 226). Both the left wing Marxist-Leninism and the right wing ruthless capitalists were anti-creationists and "even when they fight with each other, they remain united in opposition to creationism" (Morris and Morris 1996, 82).

Shortly after Darwin published his landmark *Origin of Species* in 1859, "the survival of the fittest" theory in biology was interpreted by capitalists as "an ethical precept that sanctioned cutthroat economic competition"; "many recognized that Darwinian ideas, although based "more on the writings of Herbert Spencer than of Charles Darwin," implied approval for cutthroat business practices and "its proponents urged *laissez-faire* economic policies to weed out the unfit, inefficient and incompetent".

And war, of course – with Hedley Paul Willmott, military historian and former Senior Lecturer at the Royal Military Academy, Sandhurst, in his book *World War I* (p.12): "A CLIMATE OF HATE Mobilization on this scale was only possible because of the willingness of societies to bear the financial burden of the new armies and an equal willingness to allow their young men to be conscripted. This acceptance of the possible sacrifice of men's lives was very much an active one, which developed partly in response to the increasing tendency of governments to view, and refer to, all neighbours as potential enemies. This tendency was accompanied by a general intellectual change that was the legacy of the British naturalist Charles Darwin and the philosopher Herbert Spencer. Darwin, in his epochmaking *On the Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection* (published in 1859) had described the theory of evolution, while Spencer had applied the theory to people in society. The twin Darwinian notions of the "struggle for existence" and "the survival of the fittest" came to be widely accepted throughout Europe, and in debased form provided the basis of an increasingly strident and assertive nationalism. Inferior racial qualities and national characteristics were attributed to potential enemies, often by a popular press that pandered to the worst elements of intolerance and hatred in society."

Some clips from Andrew Breitbart:<sup>26</sup> György "Lukacs built on Gramsci, deciding that Marx's dialectic materialism wasn't really a prophetic tool for predicting the future – it was a tool for tearing down society itself. Simply destroying the status quo in the minds of the people would bring Marxism."

"At the Frankfurt School, he [Horkheimer] coined a term that would embody the whole corrupt philosophy of his fellow travelers' mission to destroy society and culture using the Marxist dialectic: critical theory.

Critical theory was exactly the material we were taught at Tulane. It was, quite literally, a theory of criticizing everyone and everything everywhere. It was an attempt to tear down the social fabric by using all the social sciences (sociology, psychology, economics, political science, etc.); it was an infinite and unending criticism of the status quo, adolescent rebellion against all established social rules and norms."

"We always feel that our incredible traditions of freedom and liberty will convert those who show up on our shores, that they will appreciate the way of life we have created – isn't that why they wanted to come here in the first place? We can't imagine anyone coming here, experiencing the true wonder that is living in this country, and wanting to destroy that. But that's exactly what the Frankfurt School wanted to do."

---

<sup>25</sup> Bergman, J.; *The Darwin Effect – It's influence on Nazism, Eugenics, Racism, Communism, Capitalism & Sexism*; pp.259-0. And yes, Bergman also writes about Chairman Mao...

<sup>26</sup> Breitbart, A.; *Righteous Indignation – Excuse me while I save the world!*; Ch. 6.

"These were not happy people looking for a new lease on life. When they moved to California, they simply couldn't deal with the change of scenery – there was cognitive dissonance. Horkheimer and Adorno and depressive allies like Bertolt Brecht moved into a house in Santa Monica on Twenty-sixth Street, coincidentally, the epicenter of my childhood. They had moved to heaven on earth from Nazi Germany and apparently could not handle the fun, the sun, and the roaring good times. Ingratitude is not strong enough a word to describe these hideous malcontents."

"Adorno was wrong. It was paradise. To the rest of the world, America's vision was a vision of paradise. And these Marxists were here to try to destroy the best lifestyle man had ever created."

"Members of the Frankfurt School had some American allies – men who had accepted the Roosevelt/Wilson synthesis of Hegel and Marx, and who were now looking for the next step. The Frankfurt School had been sending mailers out to prominent fellow-traveler sociologists in the United States for some years and creating connections with them."

"All the Frankfurt School had to do was to get into the country, and they'd take their place in the hallowed halls of American academia. Fortunately for them, there was an organization called the Institute of International Education, specifically devoted to helping fleeing scholars from Germany."

"It takes a sincerely deranged soul to want to deconstruct the good life and the optimistic citizenry in order to create mass intellectual and spiritual misery. But that's exactly what they did. And as they constructed their philosophical dystopia, all the pieces of the modern leftist puzzle began falling into place.

But all of these major contributors to the Frankfurt School of thought paled in comparison to Herbert Marcuse, the founder of the "New Left." Marcuse was a former student of future Nazi philosopher Martin Heidegger, the father of "deconstruction," a process by which every thought or writing from the past had to be examined and torn down as an outgrowth of its social milieu. Heidegger wasn't shy about his intentions; he longed for the moment "when the spiritual strength of the West fails and its joints crack, when the moribund semblance of culture caves in and drags all forces into confusion and lets them suffocate in madness.""

(While we're on the subject of destruction – take some minutes with Martin L. Pall to the NIH: "The 5G Rollout is Absolutely Insane"; <https://youtu.be/kBsUWbUB6PE> – and observe that "COVID-19-attributed case and death rates for the U.S.A." are by Magda Havas<sup>27</sup> and Angela Tsiang "found to be statistically significantly higher for states and counties *with* compared to those *without* 5G millimeter wave (mmW) technology." "For *state averages*, cases per million were 79% higher ... , deaths per million were 94% higher ... For *county averages*, cases per million were 87% higher ... and deaths per million were 165% higher".<sup>28</sup>)

The Marxists – Richard Wurmbrand:<sup>29</sup> "In his poem "Human Pride,"<sup>30</sup> Marx admits that his aim is not to improve the world or to reform or revolutionize it, but simply to ruin it and to enjoy its being ruined:

With disdain I will throw my gauntlet Full in the face of the world, And see the collapse of this pygmy giant Whose fall will not stifle my ardour.

<sup>27</sup> <https://esmed.org/MRA/mra/article/view/2371/193545802>; Abstract.

<sup>28</sup> Add to it: Robert F. Kennedy Jr.: Chronic Disease Is Bankrupting America; <https://youtu.be/Avt5z1WT7eA>

<sup>29</sup> Wurmbrand, R.; Marx & Satan; pp.30-1.

<sup>30</sup> Menschenstolz (An Jenny): "Dann werf' ich den Handschuh höhrend / Einer Welt in's breite Angesicht / Und die Riesenzwerginn stürze stöhnend, / Meine Gluth erdrückt ihr Trümmer nicht. Götterähnlich darf ich wandeln, / Siegreich ziehn durch ihr Ruinenreich, / Jedes Wort ist Gluth und Handeln, / meine Brust dem Schöpferbusen gleich." (<https://www.karl-marx-lieder.de/menschenstolz-an-jenny/>; incorrect date).

Then will I wander godlike and victorious Through the ruins of the world And, giving my words an active force, I will feel equal to the Creator."

But back to the beginning; "The defenders of democratic ideology will not be victorious until they come out openly against their real enemy – the Knights of the Black Crusade."

Leo Lehman writes in early 1940s.<sup>31</sup> – :

"Far be it from us to doubt the sincerity of the Jesuits and their followers in believing that the control of the world by the Catholic Church is the only solution for the ills of mankind. They are welcome to their conviction, and are free in the United States to propagate their teaching and carry out their activities towards that end. The traditional manner in which they carry out their designs, however, should be disturbing to all who strive to sustain the democratic ideology and the principles of freedom and tolerance cherished so highly in this country."

"A few years ago, Americans considered it incredible that the Catholic Church could be officially in favor of the Fascist corporative state; much less that it could have been in any way responsible for the origin and spread of Corporatism. They refused to believe that the vaunted encyclical *Quadragesimo Anno*, of Pope Pius XI, was an endorsement of the Nazi-Fascist objective to discredit and destroy the structure of the liberal democratic state, and to set up, in its stead, authoritarian, hierarchical regimes. Yet, this encyclical embodied the whole aim of the Catholic Church for half a century before the rise of Fascism, namely, the total reconstruction of the then existing social order on Catholic-Fascist lines. The real title of this encyclical is: "*On the Reconstruction of the Social Order*," and its plan is actually the ecclesiastical counterpart of the Fascist military onslaught against liberalism and democracy. Americans heard Father Coughlin preach this for eight years, but merely shrugged their shoulders and took it for granted that his rantings were those of a crackpot and had nothing to do with the true aims and activities of the Catholic Church. It can now be seen that this plan of the Vatican, though camouflaged in terms to quiet the fears of Americans, was being carried forward officially by the Catholic Church in the United States as vigorously as in European countries.

In our first issue of *The Converted Catholic Magazine* [Jan. 1, 1940], attention was directed to the plan as published under the auspices of the National Catholic Welfare Conference, and signed by 131 Catholic prelates and noted laymen. It advocated a change in the United States' Constitution to permit the enactment of the recommendations of Pope Pius XI into American law. It praised the NRA [National Recovery Administration], which is now admitted as having been patterned on Fascist Corporative lines, and which was abolished by unanimous opinion of the U. S. Supreme Court as destructive of American democracy. In spite of this, however, this plan of the Catholic Church says: "Had the NRA been permitted to continue, it could readily have developed into the kind of industrial order recommended by the Holy Father."

So cautiously had this plan been advanced in the United States, that it was not until the Roman Catholic hierarchy, in 1940, issued its pronouncement on "The Church and the Social Order" that the press could safely headline the news that "*The Catholic Hierarchy Advocates Corporative System for the U. S.*" Strange to say, there was then no public outcry. And even now, when patriotic Americans are turning the searchlight of suspicion on every sign of political and economic subversion, the greatest Trojan Horse of them all continues to tower unmolested in the very shadow of their searchlights. In newspaper offices, this Trojan Horse of Jesuit Catholicism is still regarded as the feared and untouchable "sacred cow."

The misconception that the corporative system is purely an economic matter, has blinded the American press and public to the real aim behind Catholicism's advocacy of it. Corporatism is indeed the economic ingredient of Fascism. But it is also the essential element of Fascism, since the corporatives make a parliament or congress unnecessary. For these corporatives are the means through

---

<sup>31</sup> Lehman, L.H.; Behind the Dictators; <https://www.lutheranlibrary.org/pdf/651-lehmann-behind-the-dictators.pdf#pagemode=bookmarks>; pp.9.10.37-8.26.43.

which the "Leader" exercises his dictatorial will. It was precisely because the Supreme Court judged that, by the NRA, Congress had abdicated its powers and was thus paving the way for Fascism, that it took vigorous action against it. The entire ideology of Fascism and Nazism – in social, economic, educational, religious and military matters – is contained in the corporative system. Corporatism is Fascism."

Chapter IV; The Re-Establishment of The Holy Roman Empire: "Europe's tragedy, in Catholic opinion, is due to the breaking up of its great papal-controlled confederation of states by the Protestant Reformation. All the efforts of the Catholic Church since have been directed to the work of counter-Reformation – to re-establish the political and social order of pre-Reformation times. That order of states was hierarchical, not democratic, and was ruled at the top by the dual sovereignty of Pope and Emperor, by the union of Church-State authority. The political and social order that resulted from the Reformation, both in Europe and America, is regarded by the Catholic Church as pagan and anti-Christian; they give it the name of "pseudo-democracy." This is to be found in all official Catholic writings and is the burden of all papal encyclicals. The Jesuit weekly *America* [April 13, 1940], for instance, tells us that the evils of our present time are to be ascribed to this "pseudo-democracy, which is pagan in its remote origins and leads to an inhuman wage system, an uprooted proletariat and pauperism." It goes further to say: "Protestant, rationalist, and now definitely anti-Christian in its inspiration, its logical fruit is Socialism," and calls for "a return to an integral social order, the principles of which are still preserved in our languid memory of the great medieval experiment.""

"Although religion is the most sacred of man's needs, it is the easiest and most effective cloak to hide a poisoned dagger from an enemy. It has always been used by political Catholicism as a Trojan horse with all the appurtenances of war safely concealed within its flanks. This is especially the case in liberal democratic countries like the United States, where a wealthy and powerful organization like the Church of Rome is safeguarded not only against open attack but even against mild and just criticism. American tolerance, leaning backwards, has forced a rigid policy on leading newspaper offices and bureaus of public information to treat the Church of Rome as a "sacred cow." Just as the Trojans unsuspectingly accepted the mysterious horse thrust within their gates by the wily Greeks, so too has America stood in awe of the "sacred cow" of Catholicism and has never dared even to question its presence. Americans are justly fearful of being accused of religious bigotry and intolerance, since they have long prided themselves as guaranteeing religious liberty and freedom of expression to all comers. They have been thus without means to justify an open investigation of an organization suspected of concealing dynamite that, touched off by other dangerous forces, may explode in their midst and destroy the very Constitution that has enabled them to remain secure and prosperous themselves and tolerant to the Catholic church itself.

Observers in America's ivory towers have been blinded to the real facts behind the present upheaval that threatens to wipe out every vestige of post-Reformation liberalism from the world."