

IKKE ALT SELBEKK GJØR ER GALT, MEN ...

Dagens sjefredaktør samt redaktør Tarjei Gilje og kommentator Espen Ottosens forestillingsverden – i forbindelse med USAs President Donald J. Trump, demokrati, valgfusk, 'kongressstorming' m.v.

"-Jeg er jo skuffa. Jeg hadde håpa på et annet resultat, og at USA nå skulle få sin første kvinnelige president, det synes jeg hadde vært bra." "-Donald Trump er en type, både person og politiker, som jeg føler det ikke går an å støtte..." (Sjefred. Vebjørn Selbekk, NRK 06.11.24¹)

Dager i forveien: "Jeg kan fremdeles ikke si annet enn at jeg håper Donald Trump aldri mer blir president i USA. Jeg frykter alvorlig hva det kan føre til, både for USA, Europa, Norge og verden å gi ham fire nye år i Det hvite hus." (Red. Tarjei Gilje; leder, Dagen 01.11.24²)

"-You know, I can tell you, that if you take on the establishment, they do not come out with a tray of drinks. The whole of the British establishment wanted us to be members of the globalist European Union – all the parties, all the big businesses, all the trade unions – and it was almost me alone saying this is a crazy idea. We want control of our sovereignty, our borders, and our identity back with the British people. And for daring to say that, they made my life hell. But the tough times I went through are as nothing compared to what they put Donald J. Trump through. It went on for year after year after year – endless court cases, mainstream media abuse, harassment of his family, debanking from some of the worst financial institutions, assassination attempts, and yet this man has come through it braver, stronger, wiser ... he is simply the bravest man that I know, and we should all applaud him, we really should, we really should." (Reform UK leader Nigel Farage, 20. februar.³)

Dag Jørgen Høgetveit; februar 2025

"Ikke alt Trump gjør er galt, men det finnes et grunnleggende problem", heter seg i overskrift til Selbekks leder 1. februar.⁴

Selbekk og medarbeidere synes ha et grunnleggende problem (skjønt der er kanskje andre som 'tar den mer helt ut', som Klassekampens politiske redaktør: "Det er den nedadstigende supermaktas siste halvfascistiske krampetrekninger, med en imperator som elsker å se undersåttene krype for seg. Oppgaven for verdens folk og nasjoner – og alle demokratiske krefter – er å bygge en brei koalisjon mot dette brutalistiske regimet." (Bjørgulv Braanen; Mørkets fyrste; leder 22. januar)

Eller Nationens 3.side-kommentator Eva Nordlund (19. feb.): "USA omkalfatres foran våre øyne. Espen Hammer, filosofiprofessor ved Temple University, beskrev det slik i Aftenposten forrige uke: "I langsom kino, som om det var en skrekkfilm, får vi anledning til å bivåne det som tyskerne opplevde på 1930-tallet: Demokratiets skjørhet, det styrende toppsjiktets forakt for lov og rett, og konsolidering av enorm, ja monopolisert, makt hos en presumptivt karismatisk leder. De slår med slegge, med begrenset hensyn til juridiske restriksjoner.""

Eller Braanen igjen, et par dager senere (21. feb.): "Den presbyterianske presten og sosialisten Chris Hedges har kalt USA en mafiastat, der det handler om å kysse ringen og krype for gudfaren. Hvis du kommer inn i hans innerste krets, trenger du ikke følge reglene eller overholde loven. Elon Musk og Mark Zuckerberg er allerede blitt rikelig belønnet for å lovprise og knele for Molok.")

¹ <https://tv.nrk.no/se?v=NNFA55001524&t=2513s>

² <https://www.dagen.no/meninger/hvis-jeg-var-amerikaner-hadde-jeg-ganske-sikkert-stemt-pa-trump/1214074>

³ <https://youtu.be/IxWLyj0ySdU>

⁴ <https://www.dagen.no/meninger/ikke-alt-trump-gjor-er-galt-men-det-finnes-ett-grunnleggende-problem/1387092>

Men det var Dagen – og jeg begynte med redaktørenes Harris-preferanse:

Historiker Victor Davis Hanson⁵ (Hoover Inst., Stanford Univ.; GBNews – 03.10.24⁶ – spør): "-How do you assess her [Harris⁷] as a presidential candidate, her intelligence, her qualifications for the job – would she be the least qualified president in US history?"

-Yeah, I think she would – but it's not a matter of controversy that she would be the most left-wing, because, outside appraisal groups, surveys have shown that she's to the left of Bernie Sanders. We should remember in 2020, the powers that ... removed ... Sanders from contention, through a lot of machinations, precisely because he was considered too leftwing – now they didn't do that with Harris, because she didn't last that long, she dropped out in December. But she was more of a socialist according to these disinterested surveys than what Sanders, and she's had to disown all of her socialist agendas in the last 90 days if she were to be elected."

Davis Hanson fremdeles⁸ – Donlad Trump's Inauguration Speech; og fremdeles GBNews: "-This was an operational, it was a blueprint of attack. He went through all of the things from DEI [diversity, equity, and inclusion] to energy to the border to crime, and he promised, he said we're going to end illegal immigration, we're going to end this misinterpretation of the 14th Amendment on anchor babies we're going to end Catch and Release; it was very detailed, but it was a plan of attack we haven't heard, and you could see the faces of our former presidents – it wasn't just that he bitterly attacked Joe Biden, and by association blame the Clinton Obama record, but when they heard what he was detailing and promising, the faces of Barack Obama, Bill Clinton, Hillary Clinton, even George W. Bush, it was 'Oh my God, this man is a revolutionary, he's going to cancel everything that we did'. And so that was shock, that was pretty shocking, and everybody thought he would hold back, but he doesn't play by the Marquess of Queensberry Rules; his view of the world is 'these people are pretty nasty people and under the guise of sober and judicious language they tried to impeach, they did impeach me twice, they tried to get me off the ballot, they waged lawfare in five different jurisdictions against me – they're not nice people, and I'm not going to play by their rules, and I'm just going to call them out for what they were'."

"Donald Trump won the 2024 election in part because the left's hysterical style of attacking Trump no longer worked." Victor Davis Hanson; The Addicted, Petty, and Hysterical Left;⁹ 23. januar – Davis Hanson forstatt:

"After a decade of this unhinged furor, it proved worthless in winning public support – and for two simple reasons.

One, after years of Russian collusion hoaxes, the laptop disinformation farce, and the warped lies about the "suckers" and "fine people on both sides" – the shrill left became predictable.

So, the bored public began tuning them out, switching channels, hitting the mute button, and pulling the plug.

Like the deleterious effects of inflation that eventually render a currency worthless, nonstop hectoring, hysterics, pontification, and distortion finally made all such criticisms of Trump mostly as valueless as 1930s German marks.

Second, the wearied public never heard reasoned counterarguments from the likes of a Rachel Maddow [MSNBC]. Instead, on spec, she kept mouthing, "The walls are closing in" on Trump.

⁵ Victor Davis Hanson is a renowned classicist, military historian, and political commentator. He is the Martin and Illie Anderson Senior Fellow in Residence in Classics and Military History at the Hoover Institution, Stanford University.' And a farmer.

⁶ <https://youtu.be/VAjTCLHSOmg?t=3m32s>

⁷ Noen referanser: Visepresident og grenseansvarlig 2018: <https://youtu.be/5bizJ1pgWY> ; Attorney General i California: <https://youtu.be/4bLcWJ3HYng> ; og ellers (district attorney of San Francisco, 2010): <https://youtu.be/O2SLyBL2kdM>

⁸ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GstoRlIoBuQ&t=259s>

⁹ <https://victorhanson.com/the-addicted-petty-and-hysterical-left/>

Joe Biden did not explain why his open border was a better idea than Trump's closed one. He preferred mumbling about "semi-fascists!" and "ultra-MAGA!"

The Never Trumpers did not critique the Trump deficits. Instead, they hammered away that Trump was Hitler, or Mussolini, or Putin – or just a dangerous dictator or autocrat.

Angry retired generals never demonstrated why Trump was, in their view, an existential threat to democracy. Instead, they shouted nonstop in op-eds and interviews that he was a fascist, Nazi-like, no different from the guards at Auschwitz, a pathological liar, and should be summarily removed.

Worn-out voters began to understand these psychodramas were substitutes for substantive criticism or occasions for legitimate debate.

Indeed, the exhausted public finally concluded that the hysterics increased in direct proportion to the poverty of the charges."

"Forgetting nothing, learning nothing, like zombies, leftists keep screaming banalities. But like addicts and their feel-good fixes, their hysterics only further turn off the public as they destroy themselves."

Redaktør Tarjei Gilje, Dagen 24. januar:¹⁰ "I høst møtte kollega Tore Hjalmar Sævik og jeg filmskaper Erik Poppe i forbindelse med at filmen om Vidkun Quislings siste dager ble lansert. Poppe snakket om hvordan filmen hadde blitt nesten overraskende aktuell.

Både han og jeg prøvde visst å få en andre til å si navnet på en daværende amerikansk presidentkandidat, eller en russisk president, som kunne aktualisere tematikken..."

Dagen-kommentator Espen Ottosen 'aktualiserte tematikken' få dager tidligere, 21. januar,¹¹: "Noe av det som gjorde størst inntrykk med filmen om Vidkun Quisling – som gikk på norske kinoer i høst – var hans voldsomme redsel for Sovjetunionens kommunisme." Osv, osv.; "Av og til får jeg inntrykk av at noen av de som sterkt støtter Donald Trump tenker tilsvarende: De gjør et stort poeng ut av at alternativet – Hillary Clinton, Joe Biden og Kamala Harris – var verre." Osv.

"They were not rigging the election; they were fortifying it."

"I den første lederen vi skrev om Donald Trump for ni år siden, beskrev vi hvordan det renner en antidemokratisk understrøm gjennom MAGA-bevegelsen"; "Når Dagen ... på lederplass har advart mot Donald Trump helt fra første gang han stilte i Det republikanske partiets nominasjonskamp tilbake i 2016, så er ikke det fordi vi er uenig i enkeltsaker", skriver Selbekk 1. februar; "vi har hele tiden ment at det har vært ett grunnleggende problem med Donald Trump"; "Vi mener at de som var usikre på presidentens demokratiske sinnelag, burde blitt overbevist da Trump ikke ville erkjenne valgnederlaget i 2020."

(Og dermed også James David Vance, naturligvis: Trumps "nestkommanderende fremstår som en hykler når han gir seg i gang med å belære Europa om demokratiske idealer." (Espen Ottosen, 20. februar¹²))

Uten at USAs 45te president var overbevist om at han var folkets valgte 46te president, ville han ikke stillet til valg for USAs 47de president; "-We had a great election in 2016, I had a much better election in 2020, and if I didn't have that election, I wouldn't have run." (Trump, 22. januar¹³)

"And Donald Trump – the man who prevailed over an unprecedented, coordinated crusade to put him behind bars, destroy his business and his family, and wreck the MAGA movement – will stand

¹⁰ <https://www.dagen.no/meninger/donald-trump-viser-problemet-med-karismatikk/1386237>

¹¹ <https://www.dagen.no/meninger/skummelt-a-omfavne-trump-fordi-alternativet-er-verre/1383055>; Ottosens skrivelse(r) er så pepret med forskjellige versjoner av forbehold at han fremstår 'teknisk' nærmest uangripelig – det hindrer ham ikke å bibringe budskap i en bestemt retning.

¹² <https://www.dagen.no/meninger/enten-hykler-han-eller-sa-er-han-pa-kollisjonskurs-med-sjefen-sin/1395110>

¹³ <https://www.foxnews.com/politics/top-5-moments-trump-hannity-interview> ; 05:46.

triumphant before those very same saboteurs in the very same place they thought would end it all." The Capitol. Julie Kelly skriver,¹⁴ From Insurrection to Resurrection, dagen før presidentinnsettelsen.

Og "Den dag i dag holder han fast på løgnene om at han ikke tapte valget i 2020", skriver Espen Ottosen 9. januar.¹⁵

Ottosen fortsatt – leder 25. januar:¹⁶ "Noe av det Trump har gjort etter at han ble president er bra. Men det blekner dessverre mot at han enda en gang tviholder på løgningen om at han egentlig vant valget i 2020 – og lar en slik påstand, som overhodet ikke er dokumentert, diktere politikken."

4. februar 2021 skriver Molly Ball – Selbekk et al. har vel kanskje med eller uten vilje oversatt det; artikkelen vakte ellers oppmerksomhet – Ball skriver (Time Magazine¹⁷) "The secret history of the shadow campaign that saved the election":

"To the President, something felt amiss. "It was all very, very strange," Trump said on Dec. 2. [2020] "Within days after the election, we witnessed an orchestrated effort to anoint the winner, even while many key states were still being counted."

In a way, Trump was right.

There was a conspiracy unfolding behind the scenes..."

"This is the inside story of the conspiracy to save the 2020 election". ""... it's massively important for the country to understand that it didn't happen accidentally. The system didn't work magically.

Democracy is not self-executing." "" "There was a conspiracy unfolding behind the scenes, one that both curtailed the protests and coordinated the resistance from CEOs. Both surprises were the result of an informal alliance between left-wing activists and business titans."

"They got states to change voting systems and laws and helped secure hundreds of millions in public and private funding."

"That's why the participants want the secret history of the 2020 election told, even though it sounds like a paranoid fever dream – a well-funded cabal of powerful people, ranging across industries and ideologies, working together behind the scenes to influence perceptions, change rules and laws, steer media coverage and control the flow of information. They were not rigging the election; they were fortifying it. And they believe the public needs to understand the system's fragility in order to ensure that democracy in America endures."

Oppsummert – med The Daily Caller's Greg Price:¹⁸ "So the election wasn't rigged. There was only a secret cabal of powerful elites and corporate interests that worked together to overhaul election laws, influence media coverage, and convince big tech to crush dissent".

Victor Davis Hanson:¹⁹ "-So we have early voting in most states..." "-So under the guise of Covid, in March, April, May of 2020, teams of Democratic lawyers went to Michigan, went to Pennsylvania, went to Georgia etc., and they cherry-picked particular states and they said it's not fair to the dispossessed and the underclass to have to deal with Covid and show up at the polls. So, we want to say that if you mail in a ballot it doesn't have to be there on the night of the election – 10 days in some states – or some people, you know, they're confused, they don't speak English, they only have their first name, so if they don't have the complete name, that shouldn't count, or they don't have the right

¹⁴ <https://www.declassified.live/p/from-insurrection-to-resurrection>

¹⁵ <https://www.dagen.no/meninger/alvorlig-at-trump-fortsatt-avviser-valgnederlaget-i-2020/1378571>

¹⁶ <https://www.dagen.no/meninger/derfor-er-diskusjoner-om-symbolbruk-ofte-haplose/1385794>

¹⁷ <https://time.com/5936036/secret-2020-election-campaign/>

¹⁸ <https://www.foxnews.com/media/time-mag-report-touting-cabal-of-of-powerful-people-behind-conspiracy-shadow-campaign-to-shape-election>

¹⁹ <https://youtu.be/EUgLIldTFII?t=0m48s> ; se evt også <https://youtu.be/efQxDIB1Jgg> ; Was There Election Fraud In 2024?

address, and of course a registrar can't, you know, they can't be accurate every time, so if you get a ballot they mail to you and it doesn't match the ballot, maybe that's okay, and maybe if you apply for a driver's license, you apply for unemployment, you apply for disability, you apply for a building permit – whatever contact you have in a state agency, they will mail you a registration packet and which will come to a ballot. So you can register in six different areas, a different, slightly different, name, same address. So my point is, that when they did all of this, suddenly election day in these states was only 30% of the electorate participated; 70% had either voted early or through mail ballot, which was highly irregular, but then, when you looked at the rejection rate by states, it was no longer the four to six percents of ballots that were rejected, it dropped precipitously to 0.3, 0.2, 0.4, so, with double the ballots swarming these registrars and then they were not authenticating them at the same rate they had done in the past, people got very skeptical.

And then they started, they had these new terms they sprung on the American people; ballot harvesty..."

Fremdeles Davis Hanson:²⁰ "-I was very upset about the Stanford University Daily Newspaper, that attacks Scot Atlas and myself for having conservative views basically. I sent a letter and they said, "You have to have a hyperlink for every story so one this ... for every point you make."

So one of the things I said was affidavits have come in alleging voter fraud, and there had been lawsuits, both true. It doesn't mean that the election was changed necessarily, but I just did the hyperlink. When I went on to Google to find affidavits or lawsuits, I had to go through, I don't know, 80, 90 hits. And they were things like Reuters, AP, New York Times, all of them, the marquee labels that a venue would like. And what do they say? Fake affidavits, fake affidavits, fake affidavits, fake affidavit, fake lawsuits, fake law.

And then you get down to a local TV station in Pennsylvania says that all of these affidavits are pretty accurate because people saw this. So there's a nexus between the bias media and then the way that, that is again, force multiplied by Twitter, Facebook, Google."

"Acting Secretary of Defense Christopher Miller dismissed President Trump's order prior to January 6 to use "any and all" military assets to keep the demonstrations safe."

Men Selbekk igjen: "Og hvis folk fortsatt ikke skjønnte hvem Trump satte først, nasjonen eller seg selv, så kunne det i hvert fall ikke være tvil etter angrepet på Kongressen 6. januar 2021. Verden ble vitne til et forsøk på kupp, på å hindre en grunnlovsfestet overføring av makt basert på de amerikanske velgernes vilje."

Victor Davis Hanson – juni 2022:²¹ "Congress should investigate fully the January 6 riot at the Capitol – and similar recent riots at iconic federal sites.

But unfortunately, it never will. Why not?

The current committee is not bipartisan. House Speaker Nancy Pelosi (D-Calif.) forbade Republican nominees traditionally selected by the House minority leader to serve on the committee.

No speaker had ever before rejected the minority party's nominees to a select House committee.

Pelosi's own cynical criteria for Republican participation were twofold: Any willing minority Republican members had to have voted to impeach Donald Trump while having no realistic chance of being reelected in 2022.

Of some 210 Republican House members, that left just Representatives Liz Cheney (R-Wyo.) and Adam Kinzinger (R-Ill.) who were willing and able to fit Pelosi's profile.

A real investigation would have ignited argumentation, cross-examination, and disagreements – the sort of give-and-take for which congressional committees are famous.

²⁰ <https://www.city-journal.org/multimedia/victor-davis-hanson-on-the-2020-election>

²¹ <https://victorhanson.com/what-the-january-6-committee-might-have-been/>

In contrast, the January 6 show trial features no dissenting views. Its subtext was right out of the Soviet minister of Internal Affairs Lavrentiy Pavlovich Beria's credo: "Show me the man and I'll show you the crime".

If Donald Trump was not considering a third run for the presidency, would the committee even have existed?

Its slick Hollywood-produced optics demonstrate that the committee has no interest in inconvenient facts. Why did a Capitol officer lethally shoot a petite unarmed woman entering a Capitol window [[Ashli Babbitt, her](#)²² – og dette er ikke pent]? And why was the officer's identity and, indeed all information about his record, withheld from the public?

Why did the committee not investigate whether large numbers of FBI agents and informants were ubiquitous among the crowd?" Etc.

("Note that the 2020 summer rioting, arson, and looting continued for nearly four months. Its toll resulted in over 35 dead, some 1,500 police officers injured, around 14,000 arrests, and between \$1-2 billion in property damage.")

"Noen av de som ble benådet [januar 2025] sørget for at minst 140 politifolk ble skadet 6. januar, noen alvorlig." Skriver Ottosen 25. januar.

"-The victim's name was never mentioned by the January 6th committee...", forteller journalist Lara Logan – ikke om en politioffiser, men om Victoria C. White. Politioffiserene som slo [White \(fra 01:00-08:31 her](#) – ikke pent) – har de i det hele vært innom fengslet? Pr April 2024 "None of the officers allegedly involved in White's case has been disciplined or faced criminal charges."²³

17. desember kom den andre 'J6' rapport²⁴ fra Chairman Barry Loudermilk (GA-11), Committee on House Administration's Subcommittee on oversight; US Congress – blandt 'top findings':

"Former Representative [and J6-Committee-member] Liz Cheney should be investigated for potential criminal witness tampering"; "Liz Cheney used the January 6 Select Committee as a tool to attack President Trump, at the cost of investigative integrity and Capitol security"; "The January 6 Select Committee was improperly constituted and lacked authority"; "The January 6 Select Committee neglected or withheld evidence from its Final Report and deleted voluminous records it should have preserved"; "Acting Secretary of Defense Christopher Miller dismissed President Trump's order prior to January 6 to use "any and all" military assets to keep the demonstrations safe"; "Secretary of the Army Ryan McCarthy intentionally delayed the D.C. National Guard's response to the Capitol on January 6, despite authorization"; "The Department of Defense and the Department of Defense Inspector General knowingly and inaccurately placed blame on D.C. National Guard leadership for the delayed response on January 6".

Tilbake til januar 2024 – Julie Kelly:²⁵ "-Well, as far as the overall January 6th prosecution of those who participated in the events of January 6th, the Department of Justice now is bragging that it has roughly 1,300 total defendants – that's their caseload. Most of those charges despite being called an insurrection, no one has been charged with insurrection, and most of those charges are misdemeanors, like parading in the Capitol or walking on restricted grounds.

Nonetheless, these defendants are subjected to – it's not even right to call it an impartial proceedings in Washington D.C. – but highly biased judges and juries rendering the fate of what happens with these defendants either in court or in pleadings.

So we now have 1,300 total.

But what was really egregious... is, a few weeks ago, Matthew Graves, the U.S. Attorney for the District of Columbia – a Biden campaign advisor turned Biden prosecutor – warned that his office

²² <https://open.ink/collections/j6> ; 44:05.

²³ <https://alphanews.org/minnesota-woman-files-2-million-suit-for-police-using-excessive-force-in-jan-6-beating/>

²⁴ <https://cha.house.gov/2024/12/chairman-loudermilk-releases-second-january-6-2021-report>

²⁵ Transcript; <https://michaelpatrickleahy.com/julie-kelly-j6-defendants-are-subjected-to-highly-biased-judges-and-juries-in-washington-d-c/>

planned to round up thousands more J6ers. People who were just standing on campgrounds outside that afternoon. No clue that they were trespassing, certainly breaking the law, but he plans to at least double this total caseload as this DOJ continues to retaliate against Americans who protested Joe Biden's election three years ago."

"- So at the same time, Matthew Graves is threatening to charge these people, you have the DC appellate court who might overturn this completely. But that doesn't stop people like Matthew Graves and the DOJ. They just want the talking points. They want the threat and they want to use whatever weaponization of the law to criminalize political dissent and warn people: if you try to do this again after the 2024 election, here's what's coming for you." (Hør ellers to av de nå frigitte samt en involvert advokat – med Stephen K. Bannon 21. februar; se note.²⁶)

Kelly: "-At least 300 J6ers have been charged with §1512(c)(2), convicted, resulting in years in prison. And of course, this is two of the charges against Donald Trump and Jack Smith's fourth indictment in Washington.

The Supreme Court is going to look at what's called a splintered appellate court ruling that barely upheld DOJ's intentionally misinterpretation of the language of that statute to say, 'If you interfered in any way with proceedings that day, the counting of the electoral college vote, either before, during, or after the joint session adjourned, it doesn't matter. You still obstructed this official proceeding that makes you a felon and it's punishable by up to 20 years in prison.'"

Og noen måneder frem – Kelly:²⁷ "In a devastating but well-deserved blow to the Department of Justice's criminal prosecution of January 6 protesters, the U.S. Supreme Court today [June 28, 2024] overturned how the DOJ has used 18 USC 1512(c)(2), the most prevalent felony in J6 cases. The statute, commonly referred to as "obstruction of an official proceeding," has been applied in roughly 350 J6 cases; it also represents two of four counts in Special Counsel Jack Smith's J6-related criminal indictment of Donald Trump in Washington."

Året etter 'stormingen' utkom investigative journalist Julie Kelly's bok January 6: How Democrats Used the Capitol Protest to Launch a War on Terror Against the Political Right. "-Now, the people who were at Trump's speech were still there... By the time they walked to the Capitol, a lot of whoever the instigators were, the provocateurs, the undercover agents, the informants, you know, the rabble rousers, they had already started a lot of the chaos that we saw. So people who were coming from Trump's speech really didn't know what was going on." (Fra intervju, The Daily Signal, 08.02.22 – [her](#).²⁸)

"Trump er ikke Hitler". (Espen Ottosen, Dagen 20. januar)

Så hvem er Trump? Victor Davis Hanson i januar:²⁹ "-If he was a sober judicious politician, he'd say: I'm very worried about China; there's some ambiguities, and the treaty, and this is a concern and I'm bringing a bipartisan commission – no ..." "-The point ... is to bring attention in an eccentric fashion and get something done quickly."

"-The thing about it is he reflects human nature in the raw form and that's why people despise him. So you take certain things that have happened so far – why in the world would Hamas, who hates him, and feels they can talk to Biden and Blinken and Sullivan, why would they be more likely to get a deal in fear of him coming in? In other words, the reductionist answer is, they know that they're getting away with murder, and he won't let them do that. Why all of a sudden is the Iranian prime minister on television saying there's no, we never tried to kill the president of the United States?"

"-He's unpredictable... and unpredictability according to – he has opponents that think that's dangerous, but that's not the way the real world works. When somebody is unpredictable, then you're

²⁶ https://rumble.com/v6nqmd9-j6ers-sue-the-doj.html?e9s=src_v1_ucp

²⁷ https://www.declassified.live/p/supreme-court-overturns-doj-s-use?utm_source=publication-search

²⁸ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HDdicsDPZw>

²⁹ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=y-1RZML5FnE>

more careful about them, and all of these concessions that he's gotten before he's entered office, are not because people like him and they're not because they were forced to do it..."

"-So what this MAGA movement was, it was a holistic assault on a system that has become calcified ... and has no connection with reality."

"-I think what the whole MAGA thing, the idea of Trump, was that people use very sophisticated vocabulary and resumés to do really awful things; so you scream and yell and that tears off the veneer, and then you're left with a reality and you can judge it one or the other."

"-There is something wrong with the academic world and the bi-coastal – it is really wrong and they have assumptions about things, and the irony is it makes them very vulnerable to fads and trends, because they're not connected with earthy real things – they don't know where their water comes from, their sewage goes, how the electricity works on their home, how to plummet, they don't know people who work with their hands, they don't for – I'm generalizing – but they don't; and the people on the other side, they – maybe they're not intellectuals, maybe they're not well read, maybe they don't understand the laws of the universe, but they're tied to the real things and they're very full of common sense."

"-There's a complete re-examination right now of what we've seen. And it's not a political thing, it's more of a cultural, social counterrevolution. Now, I think it's kind of be boiled down as: these people are not capable people, they're very dangerous people, and the fact where they went to college or what color their skin is or what sexual orientation they are or – we don't care. We don't care what the resumé say. We just want to judge people on what they do. And they're found wanting. And that was what the election, I think, was about. It's not, it wasn't so much about Donald Trump, it was about *this* stuff that I'm talking about."

"-And I always say: When it's too dirty for the CIA, you give it to USAID." (Mike Benz³⁰)

Så – hva er det Trump er opp imot? Misbruk (i vid forstand) av skatte-dollar, for eksempel – Department of Government Efficiency (DOGE) og Elon Musk^{31,32} begynte med U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) – noen henvisninger:

Mike Benz (Foundation for Freedom Online) med Tucker Carlson (Ottosen har forresten visitert også Carlson³³) – godt 11 minutter på USAID & Media/sensur: <https://youtu.be/MrDb2MTYVH8>

Fremdeles Mike Benz – godt 4 minutter med Stephen K. Bannon: <https://youtu.be/1JRYhJ4zjtw>

"-Democrats just get slaughtered in every battle-ground, for putting illegals over citizens, teacher unions over students, criminals over victims, trans genders over girls. And the first war they wage against Trump puts corrupt bureaucrats over hard-working tax payers. Good luck with that." (Jesse Watters³⁴)

Og fremdeles Watters (Fox News) – et lite kvarter 18. februar:

<https://www.foxnews.com/video/6369028782112>

³⁰ <https://youtu.be/iZtXQNDJJm4?t=46m25s>

³¹ <https://www.independent.co.uk/tv/news/trump-elon-musk-fox-derangement-syndrome-b2700060.html>

³² <https://victorhanson.com/mythologies-about-musk/>

³³ Vedr. Carlson: Se evt. D.J.H.; Espen Ottosen, Tucker Carlson, Darryl Cooper og Nazistene;

https://kommentar-avisa.no/Gjestekommentarer/Gjestekommentarer-2024/Ottosen_Carlson_Cooper_og_nazistene-10.10.2024.pdf; en kommentar til Espen Ottosens "Når siste

stoppest på veien til høyre blir et forsvar for nazistene", Dagen 01.10.24; 29.10;

<https://www.dagen.no/meninger/det-skremmer-nar-siste-stoppest-pa-veien-til-hoyre-blir-et-forsvar-for-nazisme/1235555>

³⁴ <https://www.foxnews.com/video/6368678011112>

(Og evt. den lange versjonen: Mike Benz [with tucker Carlson] Takes Us Down the USAID Rabbit Hole (It's Worse Than You Think); <https://youtu.be/iZtXQNDJJm4>)

"-If we didn't win this race, I really believe our country would have been lost forever."

Mediekampanjen mot Donald J. Trump siste ni år er i karakter lik antisemittismen: Lyv massivt på jøden, slik at 'alle' vet, slik at 'ingen' rettmessig kan være i vil om hvordan jøden, hvordan Trump er – forakt, evt. forfølg og straff derefter jøden, Trump, for det man har løyet på ham... og dertil også 'jødevennene', 'trumpistene' ...

"Hva vil fire år til med Donald Trump gjøre med det amerikanske samfunnet og med alle oss som på en eller annen måte er avhengig av at USA fortsetter å være verdens ledende demokrati?", spør Selbekk 12. februar.

Hva ville fire nye år med forutgående Washington-politikk gjort "med det amerikanske samfunnet og med alle oss som på en eller annen måte er avhengig av at USA fortsetter å være verdens ledende demokrati?"

"-If we didn't win this race, I really believe our country would have been lost forever." (Donald J. Trump,³⁵ 22. januar.)

³⁵ <https://www.foxnews.com/politics/top-5-moments-trump-hannity-interview> ; 04:33.